

REMARKS

This paper is responsive to an Office Action dated August 11, 2004. Prior this response claims 1-20 were pending. After amending claims 1 and 5, canceling claim 4, and adding claims 21-23, claims 1-3 and 5-23 remain pending. Also enclosed is a request for a 2-month extension of time.

In Section 2 of the Office Action claims 1-3 and 6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Kim (US 6,771,233).

Section 3 of the Office Action states that claims 4, 5, and 7-10 would be found allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the subject matter of the base and intervening claims. In response, claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 4, now cancelled. All claims dependent from claim 1 should now be allowable. New claim 21 was added incorporating the subject matter of claims 1, 2, and 7. New claim 22 was added incorporating the subject matter of claims 1, 2, and 8. New claim 23 was added incorporating the subject matter of claims 1 and 10.


Section 4 of the Office Action states that claims 11-20 have been allowed.

Section 5 of the Office Action is a statement of allowable subject matter. The Applicant neither explicitly concurs nor disagrees with the states reasons for allowance.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the
application and allowance of all pending claims.

12/20/04
Date

Respectfully submitted,


David C. Ripma
Registration No. 27,672

Customer No. 27518